

The Dynamics of Work Quality, Supervision, and Work Environment on Employee Productivity in Barukan Village

A Qualitative Approach

Wahyu Purnomo^{1*}, Cakra Hidayat², Melinda Astuti³

¹Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

²Universitas Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" Yogyakarta, Indonesia

³Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article History

Received : 2025-03-22

Revised : 2025-04-08

Accepted : 2025-04-08

Keywords:

Employee Productivity, Work Quality, Supervision, Work Environment, Rural Administration.

*Corresponding author:

purnomowahyu2711@gmail.com

DOI:

Abstract

Employee productivity is an important predictor of organizational performance, especially in rural administrative settings where human resources are minimal. Using a qualitative method, this study investigates the influence of job quality, supervision, and working conditions on employee productivity in Barukan Village. In-depth interviews and theme analysis were used to gather data on the important elements impacting productivity. According to the findings, employees' access to training and resources has a major impact on job quality, but supervision is critical in motivating and improving performance outcomes. Furthermore, the work environment, including infrastructure and culture, has a direct impact on employee engagement and productivity. The report emphasizes the need for better training programs, systematic monitoring, and workplace improvements in order to promote a more productive workforce. Theoretical implications correlate with transformational leadership and Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, which emphasize intrinsic motivation and participatory leadership as major productivity drivers. Practical ideas include digital transformation, leadership development, and infrastructural improvements to improve rural worker efficiency. This study adds to the greater discussion of rural human resource management and offers policymakers and administrators practical insights. Future research should look at the long-term effects of digitization and leadership techniques on rural workforce performance.

Introduction

Employee productivity is critical to organizational effectiveness, especially in remote places like Barukan Village, where low human resources impede public service delivery and commercial activity. However, obtaining peak productivity is challenging owing to factors such as job quality, supervision, and working conditions. Understanding these aspects is vital when devising ways to enhance labor efficiency Meier & Hicklin (2008); Bartelsman & Doms (2000). However, obtaining peak productivity remains difficult owing to a variety of factors, including job quality, monitoring, and the work culture. Identifying the functioning of these components is critical for devising measures to boost overall labor productivity (Syverson et al., 2010).

Because good leadership and direction keep workers motivated and in line with company objectives, supervision is essential in determining employee productivity. According to studies, supportive supervision lowers workplace stress, improves job satisfaction, and creates a healthy work culture (Babin & Boles, 1996). On the other hand, poor supervision can result in miscommunication, a decline in motivation, and inefficient work completion (Olanrewaju et al., 2017). Gaining insight into how supervision affects Barukan Village's production can help managers make the required adjustments.

Employee productivity is also greatly impacted by the workplace. Employee engagement and productivity can be increased in a supportive work environment that encompasses suitable physical circumstances, interpersonal interactions, and corporate culture (Osborne & Hammoud, 2017). Performance can be hampered and turnover rates raised by unfavorable work environments, which are defined by poor infrastructure, a lack of resources, and low morale (Manyisa & van Aswegen, 2017). Examining the working environment in Barukan Village is essential to pinpointing areas that require development, as rural villages frequently struggle with inadequate administrative support and infrastructure.

There is a knowledge gap about how these factors affect productivity in rural administrative environments because previous research has mostly concentrated on urban work settings. By using a qualitative approach to investigate the complex relationship between job quality, supervision, and the work environment in Barukan Village, this study aims to close that gap. This study intends to offer practical suggestions for raising productivity within the village administration by examining the viewpoints and experiences of the staff.

Literature Review and Hypotheses Development

A. Work Quality and Employee Productivity

Since work quality affects how effectively and efficiently tasks are completed, it is a crucial component of employee productivity. Performance, customer happiness, and organizational success are all enhanced by high-quality work (Lee et al., 2012). Research indicates that companies who make training and skill development investments see an increase in the caliber of work produced by their staff (Sairmaly, 2023). Performance reviews, ongoing feedback systems, and training initiatives are essential for guaranteeing that workers continue to provide high-quality work.

According to research by Maryellen (2010), professional growth is crucial for improving the caliber of work. According to their research, frequent training increased employees' output by 20% as compared to non-receivers. Additionally, companies with structured performance monitoring systems demonstrated notable increases in employee engagement and work quality (Gruman & Saks, 2011). To improve job quality in rural places like Barukan Village, where training resources are frequently limited, it is essential to investigate alternate approaches like peer mentorship and online learning platforms.

B. Supervision and Its Impact on Productivity

One essential component of workplace dynamics that has a direct impact on worker productivity is supervision. Employee motivation, alignment with company objectives, and ability to meet performance standards are all guaranteed by effective supervision (Decoene & Bruggeman, 2006). According to research by Sethibe & Steyn (2017), employee behavior is significantly influenced by the leadership styles used. It has been discovered that transformational leadership, which emphasizes motivating and empowering staff members, improves job happiness and general productivity.

Conversely, micromanagement or authoritarian approaches might result in lower productivity, more stress at work, and lower morale (Ndidi et al., 2022). According to a study by Lu et al. (2016), workers who had supervisors who were encouraging and involved in their work reported greater levels of productivity and job engagement. These results highlight how crucial it is for Barukan Village to use inclusive supervisory practices in order to create a positive work atmosphere.

Employee retention is also correlated with supervision. Employees who receive regular, helpful supervision are more likely to stick with their companies, according to research by Klein (1992). Supervisory tactics that place an emphasis on performance evaluation, open communication, and staff development can help reduce high employee turnover in rural areas.

C. The Work Environment and Employee Performance

Another important factor that affects employee productivity is the workplace. Motivation is increased, absenteeism is decreased, and overall productivity is improved in a happy work environment (Ilesanmi & Famolu, 2016). Employee engagement is greatly impacted by elements like organizational culture, infrastructure, interpersonal interactions, and workplace safety.

Employees who worked in workplaces with ergonomic furniture, sufficient lighting, and few noise distractions reported a 30% boost in productivity, per a research by Kumar et al. (2019). Employees who worked in unmaintained environments, on the other hand, reported feeling more stressed and performing worse. These results highlight the necessity of improving Barukan Village's infrastructure in order to foster a more favorable employment environment.

The work environment is significantly shaped by interpersonal connections as well. According to research by Sihombing (2024), a collaborative and team-oriented culture improves worker morale and productivity. Productivity levels are typically greater in organizations that encourage open communication, peer support, and dispute resolution techniques.

D. Integrating Work Quality, Supervision, and Work Environment in Rural Settings

Few studies have examined how these elements relate to one another in rural administrative settings, despite the fact that a great deal of study has been done on similar aspects in metropolitan workplaces. A customized approach to workforce management is required due to the particular difficulties faced by rural workers, such as limited resources, restricted access to training, and infrastructural limitations (Sitsofe, 2024).

The significance of community-driven workforce development initiatives in rural regions is shown by research conducted by Dahl-Østergaard et al. (2003). Initiatives like collaborative leadership, local mentorship programs, and infrastructure improvements, according to their analysis, greatly increased worker productivity. These observations are especially pertinent to Barukan Village, where local government and community involvement are essential to workforce development.

Research Methods

In order to investigate the dynamics of job quality, supervision, and the work environment in Barukan Village, this study used a qualitative research approach, as suggested by Sekaran & Bougie (2016). Since a thorough grasp of employee experiences and views is essential for creating successful policy suggestions, a qualitative approach is suitable for this study. The study used purposive sampling to pick 25 respondents, guaranteeing representation from important stakeholders such as government administrators, supervisors, and field laborers. Thematic analysis was used to discover recurrent patterns linked to supervision, job quality, and work environment.

A thorough analysis of the variables affecting worker productivity in a rural administrative context is made possible by the exploratory case study research approach (Sousa & Voss, 2008). This method works well for examining intricate social phenomena in their actual settings, which makes it especially helpful for examining how work environment, supervision, and quality of work interact in Barukan Village.

Three main techniques will be used to gather data for this study: direct observations, focus group discussions (FGDs), and semi-structured interviews. Key stakeholders, such as administrative officials, supervisors, and village employees, will participate in semi-structured interviews. This approach permits adaptability while guaranteeing that important subjects pertaining to job quality, supervision, and the workplace are addressed (Altbach, 2015). For additional analysis, the interviews will be audio recorded and transcribed. To obtain a collective viewpoint on workplace possibilities and problems, focus group discussions (FGDs) will be conducted with groups of employees. By allowing participants to share their thoughts and experiences in a group context, this approach improves the richness of the data (Lambert & Loisel, 2008). In order to evaluate working conditions, employee relations, and supervisory procedures in real time, direct observations will be made. By recording contextual elements and

nonverbal clues that might not be fully conveyed in interviews or focus group discussions, observational data add another level of validity (Sekaran & Bougie, 2016).

Purposive sampling will be used in this study to choose participants who have firsthand knowledge of village governance. Twenty to twenty-five people will be chosen in total to guarantee a variety of viewpoints. Because it enables the selection of instances with a wealth of information that add significant insights to the study, purposeful sampling is suitable for qualitative research (Benoot et al., 2016).

According to Clarke & Braun (2017), a thematic analysis technique will be used for data analysis. Finding, examining, and summarizing patterns—or themes—in the data is the goal of thematic analysis. Data familiarization, the creation of preliminary codes, the development of themes, the review of themes, and the interpretation of results are the steps that will comprise the analysis. To obtain a thorough grasp of the material, data familiarization entails reading and rereading observation notes and interview transcripts. Finding important terms and recurrent themes pertaining to work quality, supervision, and the workplace is necessary for creating first codes. Creating themes entails organizing related codes into broad themes that encapsulate the main findings from the data. While interpreting findings entails making inferences from the identified themes and connecting them to previous research, reviewing themes guarantees validity and consistency by contrasting them with the raw data.

The appropriate institutional review boards will be consulted for ethical approval. Prior to data collection, each participant will be asked for their informed consent, guaranteeing their voluntary participation and confidentiality. To preserve their privacy and promote truthful answers, participants will remain anonymous (Sekaran & Bougie, 2016).

By ensuring a thorough investigation of the variables influencing worker productivity in Barukan Village, this methodological approach adds significant qualitative insights to the subject of workforce management in rural areas.

Results and Discussion

The study's conclusions offer thorough insights into how employee productivity in Barukan Village is impacted by work environment, supervision, and quality. A number of important topics that highlighted the potential and difficulties in the rural workplace surfaced through qualitative thematic analysis.

A. Work Quality and Its Influence on Productivity

One of the main conclusions is that workers in Barukan Village exhibit a high degree of intrinsic motivation, which is fueled by a strong feeling of civic duty and community. Despite resource limitations, several workers stated their dedication to carrying out their jobs well. But they also admitted that there were issues with antiquated administrative practices and restricted access to technology. This result is consistent with earlier studies by Parker (2014), who highlighted that frequent training and the availability of contemporary tools greatly increase productivity even though motivation is crucial for high-quality work.

Additionally, the results of the interviews showed that inconsistent training programs had a detrimental effect on workers' capacity to produce high-quality work. According to the participants, while some training sessions had been offered, they were frequently irregular and insufficiently thorough to meet the changing demands of public service job. These results corroborate the claims made by Adedeji & Segun Olugbenga (2011) that options for ongoing education are crucial to preserving and enhancing the caliber of labor in administrative settings in rural areas.

B. Supervision and Its Role in Employee Efficiency

The study also discovered that employee performance and workplace culture are significantly influenced by supervision. According to employee feedback, managers who use a participative leadership style—which is defined by open communication, encouragement, and feedback—tend to increase employee enthusiasm and dedication to their jobs. These results are consistent with Matthews et al. (2014) research, which emphasized the value of supportive

supervision in promoting employee engagement and lowering job stress.

On the other hand, some workers voiced their displeasure with inconsistent supervising methods. Uncertainty about expectations and job priorities was caused, in particular, by a lack of formal performance reviews and feedback systems. Employees occasionally found it difficult to coordinate their efforts with company objectives as a result of this inconsistency, which led to inefficiencies in task performance. Similar findings were made by Singh (1993); Tang & Chang (2010), which found that ambiguous supervisory arrangements lead to role uncertainty and lower worker productivity.

C. The Impact of the Work Environment on Productivity

Employee performance has been found to be significantly influenced by the work environment. Workers cited both the good and the bad things about their workplace. Positively, a lot of respondents valued the strong sense of camaraderie among coworkers, which promoted a friendly and cooperative work environment. In line with earlier research by Osborne & Hammoud (2017), who maintained that good interpersonal relationships boost employee engagement and job satisfaction, this sense of teamwork was discovered to be a critical motivating component.

However, a significant barrier to productivity was found to be insufficient infrastructure. Issues like insufficient office space, erratic internet connectivity, and restricted access to essential administrative tools were brought to the attention of numerous staff members. Staff anger rose as a result of these infrastructure issues, which regularly resulted in delays in task completion. According to Mechael & Searle (2010); Scholl et al. (2012), insufficient workplace infrastructure in rural areas has a detrimental effect on workflow effectiveness and general productivity, so local governments must take immediate action to resolve these problems.

The impact of organizational culture on productivity was another important discovery. According to certain staff members, the village administration's traditional hierarchical arrangements occasionally impeded creativity and decision-making. They proposed that they would be able to offer ideas more successfully and increase overall efficiency if governance were more adaptable and participative. This remark is in line with Vallas (1999) research, which found that firms with inflexible hierarchical structures frequently have trouble with employee engagement and adaptability.

D. Integrating Work Quality, Supervision, and Work Environment to Enhance Productivity

The interaction of work environment, supervision, and quality of work underscores the necessity of a comprehensive strategy to increase worker productivity. The results indicate that investing in workplace infrastructure, establishing organized supervisory procedures, and expanding professional development opportunities are important approaches to resolving the issues that have been found.

First, the report suggests that ongoing training initiatives be put in place that are specifically designed to meet the requirements of village administration staff. Frequent training sessions on customer service techniques, contemporary administrative processes, and digital literacy would give staff members the tools they need to do their jobs more efficiently.

Second, it is crucial to build a supervisory structure that is both explicit and consistent. Employees would be given the direction they require to succeed in their positions if supervisors received leadership training, regular feedback channels, and structured performance reviews. These actions would also help create a more open and inspiring workplace culture.

Third, in order to establish a more favorable work environment, a substantial investment in workplace infrastructure is required. Workflow efficiency would be significantly increased by upgrades to office space, internet access, and availability of contemporary administrative tools. Furthermore, encouraging a more collaborative corporate culture would motivate staff members to solve problems and be more creative.

Implication and Conclusion

A. Implication

Theoretical Implications

This study contributes to the existing body of knowledge on employee productivity by providing insights into the complex interplay of work quality, supervision, and the work environment in a rural administrative setting. While previous research has primarily focused on corporate and urban workplaces (Lehmann et al., 2008), this study extends these discussions to the unique challenges faced by rural governance structures. The findings highlight that employee motivation and productivity in rural settings are not solely driven by financial incentives but are also influenced by factors such as communal responsibility, leadership engagement, and infrastructural conditions (Gbadegesin et al., 2021).

By emphasizing the significance of participative leadership and supportive work environments, this study supports the transformational leadership theory (Mata et al., 2023), which suggests that leaders who engage with employees and provide consistent guidance foster higher productivity and job satisfaction. Additionally, the study aligns with Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (Alshmemri et al., 2017), as findings suggest that intrinsic factors such as work engagement and recognition play a crucial role in employee performance.

Moreover, this research contributes to rural workforce literature by demonstrating how infrastructural challenges impact work efficiency. Unlike urban offices where technological advancements are readily available, rural employees often struggle with outdated equipment, slow internet connectivity, and inadequate office space (Townsend et al., 2013). These findings stress the importance of considering regional disparities when developing productivity enhancement strategies.

Practical Implications

The findings of this study have several practical implications for policymakers, local administrators, and organizational leaders seeking to enhance employee productivity in rural administrative settings.

Improving Training and Development Programs: The study underscores the importance of continuous training and professional development opportunities for employees in rural governance. Local governments should invest in regular skill enhancement workshops, digital literacy programs, and leadership training to equip employees with the necessary competencies to perform their tasks effectively (Mohammadyari & Singh, 2015; Sá & Serpa, 2020). Training initiatives should not be sporadic but structured and ongoing, ensuring that employees stay updated with administrative best practices (Schuler & Jackson, 1987).

Enhancing Supervisory Structures: The research findings indicate that inconsistent supervision leads to inefficiencies and reduced motivation among employees (Liu et al., 2012; Mackey et al., 2017; Zohar, 2002). To address this issue, village administrations should implement structured performance evaluation systems, mentorship programs, and leadership training initiatives. Encouraging participative leadership approaches, where supervisors actively engage with employees and provide clear performance expectations, can significantly enhance workplace morale and productivity (Mata et al., 2023).

Investing in Workplace Infrastructure: The infrastructural deficiencies identified in the study highlight the need for improved office facilities, modern technological tools, and better internet connectivity. Local governments and stakeholders should prioritize upgrading rural administrative workplaces by providing adequate resources, ergonomic workspaces, and necessary digital tools. These improvements would not only enhance workflow efficiency but also contribute to employee well-being and job satisfaction (Tawfik et al., 2019).

Fostering a Positive Organizational Culture: The study findings suggest that hierarchical structures and rigid work environments hinder employee engagement and innovation. Encouraging a more participatory and inclusive workplace culture, where employees feel valued and heard, can lead to increased motivation and productivity. Implementing team-building activities, open-door communication policies, and employee recognition programs can contribute to a more dynamic

and efficient work culture (Ranjan et al., 2024).

Leveraging Technology for Efficiency: Given the challenges posed by outdated administrative procedures, adopting digital solutions such as cloud-based document management, automated workflow systems, and virtual collaboration tools can enhance operational efficiency. Investing in digital transformation initiatives would enable employees to perform their tasks with greater speed and accuracy, reducing administrative bottlenecks (Brunetti et al., 2020).

B. Conclusion

This study highlights the critical role of work quality, supervision, and the work environment in shaping employee productivity within rural administrative settings. The findings indicate that while employees in Barukan Village are highly motivated by their sense of duty and community service, their productivity is hindered by inconsistent supervision, inadequate training opportunities, and infrastructural limitations. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that integrates capacity-building initiatives, structured leadership, workplace enhancements, and cultural shifts toward inclusivity and engagement.

By implementing the recommendations derived from this study, rural administrative bodies can create more conducive work environments that support employee well-being and productivity. Moreover, the insights gained from this research contribute to the broader discourse on rural workforce development, offering valuable perspectives for policymakers, researchers, and organizational leaders seeking to optimize human resource management in similar settings.

Future research should explore the long-term impact of digital transformation and leadership development initiatives in rural workplaces, as well as investigate the role of employee psychological well-being in productivity outcomes. Expanding this research to other rural administrative contexts can further validate the findings and provide comparative insights into best practices for enhancing workforce efficiency.

References

- Adedeji, Segun Olugbenga, and O. O. (2011). Improving the conditions of teachers and teaching in rural schools across African countries Healthcare View project THRIVES Project View project. *Unesco-Iicba, May*, 1–91. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360343494>
- Alshmemri, M., Shahwan-Akl, L., & Maude, P. (2017). Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory. *Life Science Journal*, 14(5), 12–16. <https://doi.org/10.7537/marslsj140517.03>.Keywords
- Altbach, P. (2015). *The Changing Academic Workplace : Comparative Perspectives Edited by The Changing Academic Workplace : Comparative Perspectives Edited by Center for International Higher Education Lynch School of Education , Boston College. January 2000.*
- Babin, B. J., & Boles, J. S. (1996). The effects of perceived co-worker involvement and supervisor support on service provider role stress, performance and job satisfaction. *Journal of Retailing*, 72(1), 57–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359\(96\)90005-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359(96)90005-6)
- Bartelsman, E. J., & Doms, M. E. (2000). Understanding Productivity: Lessons from Longitudinal Microdata. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series*, 2000(19), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.17016/feds.2000.19>
- Benoot, C., Hannes, K., & Bilsen, J. (2016). The use of purposeful sampling in a qualitative evidence synthesis: A worked example on sexual adjustment to a cancer trajectory. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 16(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-016-0114-6>
- Brunetti, F., Matt, D. T., Bonfanti, A., De Longhi, A., Pedrini, G., & Orzes, G. (2020). Digital transformation challenges: strategies emerging from a multi-stakeholder approach. *TQM Journal*, 32(4), 697–724. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-12-2019-0309>
- Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2017). Thematic analysis. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, 12(3), 297–298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2016.1262613>

- Dahl-Østergaard, T., Moore, D., Ramirez, V., Wenner, M., & Bonde, A. (2003). *Community-Driven Rural Development: What Have We Learned?* 64.
- Decoene, V., & Bruggeman, W. (2006). Strategic alignment and middle-level managers' motivation in a balanced scorecard setting. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 26(4), 429–448. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570610650576>
- Gbadegesin, J. T., Ojekalu, S., Gbadegesin, T. F., & Komolafe, M. O. (2021). Sustaining community infrastructure through community-based governance (the social practice of collective design policy). *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*, 10(4), 711–739. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SASBE-10-2019-0142>
- Gruman, J. A., & Saks, A. M. (2011). Performance management and employee engagement. *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(2), 123–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2010.09.004>
- Ilesanmi, O. A., & Famolu, F. (2016). Role of Motivation in Enhancing Productivity in Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management Wwww.Iiste.Org ISSN*, 8(28), 15–27. www.iiste.org
- Klein, J. A. (1992). Why Supervisors Resist Employee Involvement. In *Revitalizing Manufacturing* (1st Editio, p. 14). CRC Press.
- Kumar, G. R., Bezawada, S. T., Sinno, N., & Ammoun, M. (2019). The impact of ergonomics on employees' productivity in the architectural workplaces. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(5), 1122–1132. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.E1157.0585C19>
- Lambert, S. D., & Loiselle, C. G. (2008). Combining individual interviews and focus groups to enhance data richness. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 62(2), 228–237. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04559.x>
- Lee, S. M., Lee, D., & Kang, C.-Y. (2012). The impact of high-performance work systems in the health-care industry: employee reactions, service quality, customer satisfaction, and customer loyalty. *The Service Industries Journal*, 32(1), 17–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069.2010.545397>
- Lehmann, U., Dieleman, M., & Martineau, T. (2008). Staffing remote rural areas in middle- and low-income countries: A literature review of attraction and retention. *BMC Health Services Research*, 8, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-8-19>
- Liu, D., Liao, H., & Loi, R. (2012). The Dark Side Of Leadership : A Three-Level Investigation Of The Cascading Effect Of Abusive Supervision On Employee Creativity Georgia Institute Of Technology University Of Maryland. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(5), 1187–1212.
- Lu, L., Lu, A. C. C., Gursoy, D., & Neale, N. R. (2016). Work engagement, job satisfaction, and turnover intentions. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 28(4), 737–761. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-07-2014-0360>
- Mackey, J. D., Frieder, R. E., Brees, J. R., & Martinko, M. J. (2017). Abusive Supervision: A Meta-Analysis and Empirical Review. *Journal of Management*, 43(6), 1940–1965. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206315573997>
- Manyisa, Z. M., & van Aswegen, E. J. (2017). Factors affecting working conditions in public hospitals: A literature review. *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences*, 6, 28–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijans.2017.02.002>
- Maryellen, W. (2010). *Inspired College Teaching: A Career-Long Resource for Professional Growth*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Mata, P. N., Mata, M. N., & Martins, J. (2023). Does Participative Leadership Promote Employee Innovative Work Behavior in IT Organizations. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 20(05). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021987702350027X>
- Matthews, R. A., Mills, M. J., Trout, R. C., & English, L. (2014). Family-supportive supervisor

- behaviors, work engagement, and subjective well-being: A contextually dependent mediated process. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 19(2), 168–181. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036012>
- Meachael, P., & Searle, S. (2010). Barriers and Gaps Affecting mHealth in Low and Middle Income Countries: Policy White Paper. *Health San Francisco*, 54(March), 1–79. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-557X.73274>
- Meier, K. J., & Hicklin, A. (2008). Employee turnover and organizational performance: Testing a hypothesis from classical public administration. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 573–590. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum028>
- Mohammadyari, S., & Singh, H. (2015). Understanding the effect of e-learning on individual performance: The role of digital literacy. *Computers & Education*, 82, 11–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.10.025>
- Ndidi, A. M., Edwinah, A., & Friday, O. B. (2022). Micromanaging Behaviour and Employee Productivity in SMEs in Rivers State. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 06(04), 745–755. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2022.6434>
- Olanrewaju, A., Tan, S. Y., & Kwan, L. F. (2017). Roles of Communication on Performance of the Construction Sector. *Procedia Engineering*, 196(June), 763–770. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.08.005>
- Osborne, S., & Hammoud, M. S. (2017). Effective Employee Engagement in the Workplace. *International Journal of Applied Management and Technology*, 16(1), 50–67. <https://doi.org/10.5590/ijamt.2017.16.1.04>
- Parker, S. K. (2014). *Annual Review of Psychology*.
- Ranjan, R., Thapliyal, V., Rastogi, A. K., & Singh, V. (2024). *Transforming the Human Terrain* (pp. 65–92). <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6602-8.ch003>
- Sá, M. J., & Serpa, S. (2020). COVID-19 and the promotion of digital competences in education. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(10), 4520–4528. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.081020>
- Sairmaly, F. A. (2023). Human Capital Development and Economic Growth: A Literature Review on Information Technology Investment, Education, Skills, and Productive Labour. *Jurnal Minfo Polgan*, 12(1), 679–693. <https://doi.org/10.33395/jmp.v12i1.12491>
- Scholl, H. J., Patin, B. J., & Chatfield, A. T. (2012). ICT-enabled city government field operations: Resiliency during extreme events. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, May, 2346–2356. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2012.307>
- Schuler, R. S., & Jackson, S. E. (1987). *with Human Resource Management Practices*. 1(3), 207–219.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). Research Methods for Business: A Skill-Building Approach. In *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* (Seventh ed, Vol. 34, Issue 7). John Wiley & Sons Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-06-2013-0079>
- SETHIBE, T., & STEYN, R. (2017). THE IMPACT OF LEADERSHIP STYLES AND THE COMPONENTS OF LEADERSHIP STYLES ON INNOVATIVE BEHAVIOUR. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 21(02), 1750015. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919617500153>
- Sihombing, T. M. (2024). The Role Of Organizational Culture In Enhancing Employee Performance In Start-Up Companies. *Implikasi: Jurnal Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*, 2(1), 1037–1047. <https://doi.org/10.56457/implikasi.v2i1.605>
- Singh, J. (1993). Boundary Role Ambiguity: Facets, Background and Research Hypotheses. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(2), 11–31. http://faculty.weatherhead.case.edu/jsingh/Singh_JM_93.pdf

- Sitsofe, P. (2024). *Workforce Development in Rural Ontario : An Examination of Experiences and Strategies* . By. December, 1–81.
- Sousa, R., & Voss, C. A. (2008). Contingency research in operations management practices. *Journal of Operations Management*, 26(6), 697–713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2008.06.001>
- Syverson, C., Bartelsman, I. E., Bloom, N., Gordon, R., Haltiwanger, J., Hsieh, C., & Pakes, A. (2010). What Determines Productivity. *Journal of Economic Literature*. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w15712>
- Tang, Y.-T., & Chang, C.-H. (2010). Impact of Role Ambiguity and Role Conflict on Employee Creativity. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(6), 869–881.
- Tawfik, D. S., Profit, J., Webber, S., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2019). Organizational Factors Affecting Physician Well-Being. *Current Treatment Options in Pediatrics*, 5(1), 11–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40746-019-00147-6>
- Townsend, L., Sathiaselam, A., Fairhurst, G., & Wallace, C. (2013). Enhanced broadband access as a solution to the social and economic problems of the rural digital divide. *Local Economy*, 28(6), 580–595. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269094213496974>
- Vallas, S. P. (1999). Rethinking Post-Fordism: The Meaning of Workplace Flexibility. *Sociological Theory*, 17(1), 68–101. <https://doi.org/10.1177/073527519901700104>
- Zohar, D. (2002). Modifying supervisory practices to improve subunit safety: A leadership-based intervention model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(1), 156–163. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.1.156>